PRESERVED

App. F. IX-4

1929-30
(S & A)

File No. 225-29

Serial Nos. 8

Previous References

S & A 40-25-5 etc 13
& 57-12-13 etc 14.

Later References

F & C)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. one

Part B for July 1930.

SUBJECT

Review petition on behalf of Mr B. Madalare Naik Palegar of Chitaldrug, praying for reconsideration of the G.O. lapsing the Palegar pensions of Hanumamma-Negati & Chikkatayamma

No Fl 6439 30.7.30.
20 Mr Xavier, Advocate:
Informs that Govt see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed

(1)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. GR File No. 285 of 1929.

Serial No.	
5	Review application no. 3876 from Mr. B. Madivere Naik, Son of Katappa Naik, Palegar of Chitaldrug praying that G.O. of 12th June 28 (lapsing the Palegar Pension of Hanumamma Negati, and Chik Tayamma (3rd wife and eldest daughter of Parasurama Naik) be revised, and these pension amounts continued to the petr. who is also in receipt of family pension —
	The application is duly stamped. G.O. sought to be reviewed is dated 12-6-1928, while the review application was received on 11-3-1930. It is barred by limitation, and the petr. will be called upon to assign reasons for delay in filing the review petition if directed.
	It is stated in the Review application that the Sanad of 1825 granted a pension of Rs. 90-6-4 to Katappa Naik, and Rs. 22-9-) to his eldest son Veera Madakari Naik and that a revision of the order lapsing the pension of Katappa Naik (the great grand-son the petr. is)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

5360/32

(3)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | S-A File No. 225 of 1929

Serial No.

Palegar Pensioners say that "he (Denappa naik) has failed to appear though warned & do so". Submit for orders. (If the petr. makes out a case for a re-enquiry on merits, with special reference to the grounds urged in his review application there seems to be nothing against a hearing being given to him)

AS 13/6/30.

www

229/26/6

AS 25.6.30

7/Secy/

my question is not answered

5/7/30

7/

S-I-A. File no. 51-12 Pts. 13, 13-A) & 14 re Anekal Palegar family pension is submitted under the following orders of H.H. the Maharaja (page 8 of the Office note). The contending parties were heard by me thro. their Advocates: (pages 10 & 12 of the [illegible]) Submitted.

10/7/30. AS 15.7.30

7/Secy/

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

avier, B. A., L. L. B.
ADVOCATE,

CHAMBERS:
94, Lady Curzon Road,

Bangalore 26th May 1930

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
26 MAY 1930
No

5360/X
27.5.30

To

The Financial Secretary,
to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Offices,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to the personal interview I had with you on the 23rd instant I request that I may be given a hearing in connection with the petition filed by me on behalf of Mr. B. Medikere Naick Poligar of Chitaldroog, Tarikere on 10-3-30.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. M. Xavier

Serial No.

This Case relates to the Review applcn of Mr. Madhava Naik Palegar, Chitaldrug, for a re-Construction of orders of Govt lapsing certain Palegar Pensions amounts & Forfeiture. This Case has been submitted to the Secty for orders on 8-5-30. (Govt Finl D. 3876)

Submitted
Ry
26/5/30

26.5.30

A(V)
26/5/30

Secy)

File returned with Secy's orders

Ry
Returned Sir
A 30/5/30

A.V.
31/5

WD 01684—GPB—80,000—20.4.29

29th July 30

3014

A643/30

With reference to the review petition dated 10th March 1930 presented on behalf of Mr.B.Madakari Naik, Palegar of Chitaldrug, praying for a reconsideration of Government Order lapsing the Palegar pensions of Hanumamma Negati and Chikka-thayamma, Mr.F.E.Xavier, Advocate, is informed that Government see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

The enclosures are returned.

By Order,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

S.R.-2

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section S&A

File No. 215. 29

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No. 8

No. H643/II/34

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

To

.....

Date of issue 30.7.34

Signed by Mr G.N.R

List of enclosures.....

Copied by Jay 29/7

Examined by.....

With ref. to the review petition
dt. 10.3.1934 presented on behalf
of Mr B. Madakari Naik Palega
of Chitaldrug praying for a
re-consdn. of G.O. lapsing the
Palegar Pensions of Hanumamma
Negati and Chikka Thayamma,
Mr F.N. Yanicer, Sheristadar,
is informed that [illegible] are
no reasons to reconsider the
orders already passed.
The mels are returned. B.O.

26.7.34

D.F.J. [illegible]

[illegible] [over]

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30